

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,198	12/16/2003	Greg Siwak	26/1182US	5527
38790	7590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DEAN D. S.			WEAVER	R, SUE A
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			3727	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/737,198	SIWAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Weaver	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examina  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second of	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/737,198

Art Unit: 3727

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: the features "501" and "801" do not appear to have been identified in the drawings. Furthermore there doesn't appear to be any Figures identified as 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D.

Page 2

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

### **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

#### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Art Unit: 3727

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There doesn't appear to be any brief descriptions of Figures 5A, 5B, 5C, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7A. 7B, 7C, 8A, 8B and 8C.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White '577.

Applicants are advised that the device claimed in claim 1 amounts to no more than a fish scale. However White teaches a weighing device for luggage with the resistance mechanism of coiled springs disposed in the hollow grip of the handle as claimed. Note the indicator scale as shown in Figure 3.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White '577.

Note that White teaches that the handle may be mounted in ay well-known manner. Therefore to have used a rivet to secure the spring to the luggage rather than a nut would have been most obvious

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White '577 in view of Wright '819.

To the extent that applicants claim any backpack structure, it is well-known to provide luggage with means to convert to backpack carrying. Therefore to have provided the luggage of white with such means converting it to a backpack would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Wright.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takahashi.

To the extent that applicants have claimed any particular structure of a shoulder bag, to have provided the handle of White in a shoulder bag for determining the weight of the bag would have been obvious in view of the suggestion by Takahashi of including a weighing device in a shoulder bag.

7. Claims 1 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks '360 taken alone or further in view of White.

Marks teaches many embodiments if a weighing device which may be included as part of a luggage handle structure. Some of the devices use color bands or numbers on a strip which are revealed according to the weight of the luggage. Marks even suggests the use of red to indicate when the weight is too high. To have used springs

Application/Control Number: 10/737,198 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

in such a device would have been obvious in view of the teaching by White. Further to have used the other colors yellow and green in the well know manner of traffic control would also have been most obvious.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Helberg and Heyn show other portable weighing devices.

  Atherton, Farrar et al, White, Ghosh, Koivisto et al, Franke et al and Munkert showing other luggage weighing devices. Marks et al, Martin and Knapp show other handle constructions.
- 9. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

Commissioner for Patents

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	

Application/Control Number: 10/737,198 Page 6

Art Unit: 3727

Registration Number:	
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Untrademark Office, Fax No. ( ) on (Date)	nited States Patent and
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Vicavar Primary Exeminar

t the spring the training of the second